	BII			ED GS D	IV.	
2007	AUG	20)	PM	2	02
	RIGK	Ε.	10	JF/執	, CI	ER.
BY_						

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURSPUTY GLERK

FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA

BUTTE DIVISION

MELANIE J. PARRISH,)
Plaintiff,)) CV-07-12-BU-RFC
VS.	
DAVID SCHENK, individually and as the Sheriff and Agent of Madison County; DOUGLAS YOUNG, individually and as a deputy sheriff and agent of Madison County; ROGER THOMPSON, individually and as a deputy sheriff and agent of Madison County, THEODORE COFFMAN, individually and as agent of Madison County; DAVID SCHULZ, individually and as agent of Madison County; and MADISON COUNTY, a political subdivision of the State of Montana,))))) ORDER ADOPTING) FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE))))
Defendants.	

On June 26, 2007, United States Magistrate Judge Carolyn Ostby entered her Findings and Recommendation. Magistrate Judge Osbty recommends that Plaintiff's Motion to Remand be denied.

Upon service of a magistrate judge's findings and recommendation, a party has 10 days to file written objections. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In this matter, Plaintiff filed objections on July 18, 2007. Defendants responded to Plaintiff's objections on July 27, 2007. Plaintiff's objections are not well taken.

After a de novo review, the Court determines the Findings and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Ostby are well grounded in law and fact and HEREBY ORDERS they be adopted in their entirety.

The Ninth Circuit recently stated that this Court had clearly misapplied *Duncan v*. Stuetzle, 76 F.3d 1480 (9th Cir. 1996) and Ninth Circuit law when it concluded that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction when this Court granted a remand in a similar case. See Denton v. Blatter, Cause No. CV-06-73-BLG-RFC, 06-74848.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Remand is **DENIED**.

The Clerk of Court shall notify the parties of the making of this Order.

DATED this day of August, 2007.

RICHARD F. CEBULL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE